Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009

Explanatory Table

Waterloo Integrated Station Development Metro Quarter Development Project Delivery Agreement

Contract Number: 503

Capitalised terms in this table have the meanings given to them in the Waterloo Integrated Station Development Metro Quarter Development Project Delivery Agreement (**MQD PDA**), unless the context indicates otherwise.

In preparing this explanatory table, the Principal has:

- (a) identified the reason(s) under the Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009 (NSW) (GIPA Act) for each redaction; and
- (b) weighed each redaction against the following key public interest considerations for disclosure:
 - (i) promoting open discussion of public affairs, enhancing government accountability or contributing to positive and informed debate on issues of public importance;
 - (ii) creating public awareness and understanding on issues of public importance;
 - (iii) enhancing government transparency and accountability;
 - (iv) informing the public about the operations of the agency;
 - (v) ensuring effective oversight of the expenditure of public funds and the best use of public resources; and
 - (vi) ensuring fair commercial competition within the economy.

Sydney Metro notes that Schedule F1 (*Electronic Files*) contains a large number of files. Due to the number of files and technical size limitations, these documents to the MQD PDA have not been made available on Sydney Metro's contracts register. Sydney Metro has determined to make such information available by inspection subject to any overriding public interest against disclosure. Please contact SMProcurement@transport.nsw.gov.au to arrange a time to inspect.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations	
GENERAL CONDITIONS					
1.	Contents page	The information redacted are defined terms and clauses which have been redacted entirely in the general conditions.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept to perform the MQD Works; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.	

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
2.	Clause 1.3(b)(i)(A) and (b)(ii)(E), 'Order of Precedence'	The information redacted is a defined term and a reference to a redacted schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
3.	Clause 1.8(b), 'Principal's rights do not affect risk allocation'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, particularly with respect to MQD Design Documentation; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			could reveal commercial-in- confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
4.	Clause 1.9(b), 'Separable Portions'	The information redacted is the entire subclause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information refers to the mechanism for calculating cost caps under the MQD PDA; b) revealing the redacted information would provide insight into the WL Developer's assessment of the risk and commercial impact of delays arising in the course of performing its work, and would also reveal a bespoke mechanism negotiated by the parties; and c) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
5.	Clause 2.2, 'Design and construction of MQD Works'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to specific design and construct obligations and parameters under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
6.	Clauses 4.4(a)(i) and 4.4(b), 'MQD Design Documentation'	The information redacted is a defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
7.	Clause 4.5(b), 'Certification of MQD Design Documentation'	The information redacted is a defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
8.	Clause 4.7(a)(ii), (a1)(ii)(B), (a2) and (b), 'Design Documentation for construction'	The information redacted is the entire subclauses.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to Design Documentation under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
9.	Clause 4.10(c)(ii), 'Warranties'	The information redacted is part of the subclause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
10.	Clause 4.11, 'Basis of Design and MQD Requirements (Design)'	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to Design Documentation under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
11.	Clause 5.2(a), 'Commencement	The information redacted is references to	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	of construction'	defined terms.	The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain project specific requirements negotiated by the parties; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
12.	Clause 5.2(h) and (j), 'Commencement of construction'	The information redacted is the entire subclause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
13.	Clause 5.4(c)(i) and (d), 'Utility Services'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
14.	Clause 6.6	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the parameters of rail safety within the Project;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			agency's functions.	b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL
			Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	Developer in respect of rail safety; and
			The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
15.	Clause 7.1(b), 'Compliance with Laws'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to the compliance with Laws under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	events and circumstances change.
16.	Clause 7.2, 'Change in Law'	The information redacted is a reference to a redacted clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk under the MQD PDA between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain legal events; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
17.	Clause 7.4(b) and (d), 'Concept SSD Consent and Metro Quarter SSP'	The information redacted is part of the subclauses.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer under the MQD PDA in relation to certain legal events; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
18.	Clause 7.5	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk under the MQD PDA between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain legal events; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
19.	Clause 7.6(d), 'WL Developer Applications and WL Developer Approvals'	The information redacted is the entire subclause	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to approvals under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
20.	Clause 8.6(b)(iii), 'Approved Engineer'	The information redacted is dollar amounts.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the minimum coverage required for the Approved Engineer's professional indemnity insurance; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	could prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
21.	Clause 8.6(c) and (d), 'Approved Engineer'	The information redacted is a defined term, parts of the subclauses and references to a redacted schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, including the scope of certification required by the Approved Engineer; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
22.	Clause 8.12, 'Independent Certifier (Social Housing)'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to the engagement of the Independent Certifier (Social Housing); and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
23.	Clause 9.1(b) and (c)(ii), 'Rights to land'	The information redacted is the entire subclauses.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk the parties were willing to accept in relation to the procurement of certain land; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
24.	Clause 9.2(b), 'Access to the Construction Site on or after the Site Access Date'	The information redacted is a part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to a delay in access to the Construction Site; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
25.	Clause 9.3(b)(vi) (Period and terms of Construction Licence)	The information redacted is a reference to a redacted clause.	prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain events occurring in connection with the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
26.	Clause 9.4, 'Licence Fees'	The information redacted is parts of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to payment of the Licence Fee and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	waiver period, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept to perform the MQD Works; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
27.	Clause 9.5(b)(ii), 'Access to the Construction Site'	The information redacted is the entire subclause.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk the parties were willing to accept in relation to

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	securing rights of ingress to and egress from the Construction Site; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
28.	Clause 9.9(a), (e), (f), (g), (h) and (l) (Encumbrances)	The information redacted are parts of the clause and references to redacted clauses.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the information would reveal the level of risk that the Principal was willing to accept with respect to the creation of certain Encumbrances affecting the WL Developer's ability to comply with the MQD PDA or where an Encumbrance is acquired by an Authority; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
29.	Clause 9.10	The information redacted is the entire clause.	interest against disclosure. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to a definition that has been redacted in the Station Delivery Deed; b) the efficacy of the redaction to the relevant definition is dependent on references to that definition also being redacted. The explanation for the redaction of this definition is set out in row 49 of the explanatory table to the Station Delivery Deed; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as
30.	Clause 11.3(d), 'Co-operation and co-ordination with Interface Contractors'	The information redacted is the entire subclause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of certain risks between the Principal and the WL Developer relating to co-operation with Interface Contractors; and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
31.	Clause 11.5(a)(ii) and (a)(iii), 'No Claims arising out of Interface Work'	The information redacted is part of the subclauses.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk the parties were willing to accept in relation to claims arising out of Interface Work; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
32.	Clause 13.2	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk the parties were willing to accept in relation to the MQD interfaces; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
33.	Clause 16.4, 'D&C Contract'	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the negotiated terms which the WL Developer must satisfy when entering into a D&C Contract with the D&C Contractor and therefore the level of risk that the parties were willing to accept with respect to the D&C Contract; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
34.	Clause 18	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, particularly with respect to MQD Design Documentation; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
35.	Clause 20.1, 'Time'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
36.	Clause 20.2, 'Achieving milestones'	The information redacted is parts of defined terms.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
37.	Clause 20.5, 'Delay Events'	The information redacted are parts of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out a number of project specific grounds where the WL Developer is entitled to an extension of time under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to key delay risks, and therefore the risk the parties were willing to accept. Exposing this information may also provide insight into the WL Developer's capabilities and the likelihood of key Delay Events arising; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			could reveal commercial-in- confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
38.	Clause 20.6, 'Extensions of time'	The information redacted are parts of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out project specific grounds where the WL Developer is entitled to an extension of time under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to key project milestones, and therefore the risk the parties were willing to accept. Exposing this information may also provide insight into the WL Developer's capabilities and the likelihood of particular delays to the project arising; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
39.	Clause 20.7(c) and (d), 'Limiting factors'	The information redacted is parts of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements of the WL Developer's entitlement to extensions of time under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
40.	Clause 20.8(e1) and (g), 'Determination of extension of time claim'	The information redacted is the entire subclause.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
41.	Clause 20.8A	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
42.	Clause 20.9, 'Delay Costs'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the type of cost and margin for which the WL Developer is entitled for certain delay events under the MQD PDA – these have been substantially negotiated and bespoke to the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept in relation to the delivery of the MQD Works. It may also provide insight on the WL Developer capabilities, which would prejudice its legitimate business and commercial interests; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
43.	Clauses 20.12 to 20.19	The information redacted is the entire clauses.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements of the delay regime under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
44.	Clauses 21.1(a) and (c), 'Suspension'	The information redacted is defined terms and a	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons:

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		subclause.	could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions.	a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk the parties were willing to accept in relation to certain consequences arising out of a suspension; and
			Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
45.	Clause 21.2, 'Acceleration'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk the parties were willing to accept in relation to certain consequences arising out of an acceleration; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
46.	Clause 22.3A(g), 'Notice of completion of Social Housing Works'	The information redacted is the entire subclause.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements of the completion regime for Social Housing Works under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
47.	Clause 22.6, 'Early Occupation Licence (Cope Street Plaza)'	The information redacted is part of a defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
48.	Clause 24.1(c), 'Notice of Defect'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain defect rectification obligations under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
49.	Clause 25, 'Transfer of Title, Subdivision and Sale of Lots'	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the mechanism for exercising the call options with respect to the transfer of freehold title of subdivided lots and the subdivision requirements; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer with respect to the transfer of title and grant of call options under the MQD PDA; c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	
50.	Clause 27, 'Payments'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the details, timing and arrangements in relation to the payments being made under the MQD PDA; b) the disclosure of the redacted information would provide insight into the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept and may also provide insights into the WL Developer's underlying cost structure and profit margins; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
51.	Clauses 29.2, 29.4, 29.6, 29.7 and 29.8, 'Care of the MQD	The information redacted is parts of the clauses.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the information redacted sets out the arrangement

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Works, Risks and Insurance'		The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	between the Principal and the WL Developer on procuring and effecting certain insurance under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain insurance arrangements including with respect to the premiums, and therefore the level of insurance risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
52.	Clause 29.3	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because:

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 a) the information redacted sets out details with respect to certain insurance arrangement under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the information would reveal the level of risk that the parties were willing to accept with respect to Principal's Insurances; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
53.	Clause 29.11, 'Application of the Principal's Insurance proceeds'	The information redacted is a defined term.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and therefore expose the level of insurance risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
54.	Clause 29.12	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and therefore expose the level of insurance risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
55.	Clause 29.14	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain insurance risks; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
56.	Clauses 30.1, 30.4 to 30.11, 'Indemnity and liability exclusions'	The information redacted is part of the clauses.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the commercially sensitive information regarding the WL Developer's total aggregate liability, including limits of WL Developer's liability under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the information would reveal the level of risk that the Principal and the WL Developer was willing to accept under the MQD PDA. Exposing this information may also provide insight into the WL Developer's views on its potential capabilities and likelihood of certain risks arising; c) the redacted information also sets out a unique arrangement to apportion and manage liability risk. Revealing this information may diminish the value of that information; and d) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
57.	Clause 31.1(h), (k), (l), (m) and (n), 'Event of Default'	The information redacted are parts of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
58.	Clause 31.6, 'Access termination event'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA. Exposing this information may also provide insight into the WL Developer's views on its capabilities and likelihood of certain risks arising; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	
59.	Clause 31.7	The information redacted is the entire clause.	interest against disclosure. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
60.	Clause 31.8, 'Principal's rights after termination'	The information redacted is a defined term.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
61.	Clause 31.9, 'Termination payments'	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out sensitive information concerning the Termination Payment regime which has been substantially negotiated between the parties; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept in relation to Termination Payment. It may also provide insight on the WL Developer's views on its capabilities and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	the likelihood of certain risks arising; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
62.	Clause 31.11, 'No other termination rights'	The information redacted is a reference to a redacted schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in connection with termination under the MQD PDA. Exposing this information may also provide insight into the WL Developer's views on its capabilities and likelihood of certain risks arising; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
63.	Clause 32	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain termination events, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
64.	Clause 33.2, 'Public Disclosure Obligations'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out sensitive information which has been substantially negotiated and between the parties; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
65.	Clause 34.3, 'Permitted changes in ownership'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (a) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information could reveal the WL Developer's financing arrangements. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to changes in ownership of the WL Developer and may also provide insights into the WL Developer's commercial structure and financing arrangements; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
66.	Clause 38.1(a), 'Cost of MQD Works'	The information redacted is part of the clause.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD
				PDA, particularly with respect to various elements of Costs; and
			The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
67.	Clause 38.2, 'WL Developer Claims'	The information redacted is defined terms.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (a), (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information could reveal the WL Developer's financing arrangements, cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to the nature and timing of certain payments under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would: (i) disclose commercially sensitive information about the WL Developer's financing arrangements for the MQD; (ii) provide insight into commercial considerations which are unique to the MQD; and (iii) make readily accessible a unique mechanism developed by the parties to apportion and manage certain risks unique to the MQD; and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
68.	Clause 38.2A	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (a), (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information could reveal the WL Developer's financing arrangements, cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the mechanism for payment of certain Costs under the MQD PDA; b) revealing the information would: (i) disclose commercially sensitive information about the WL Developer's financing arrangements for the MQD; (ii) provide insight into commercial considerations which are unique to the MQD; and (iii) make readily accessible a unique mechanism developed by the parties to apportion and manage

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	certain risks unique to the MQD; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
69.	Clause 38.3(a) and (c)	The information redacted is part of the subclauses.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the information would reveal the apportionment of risk and liability between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to payment of certain taxes under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
70.	Clause 38.4	The information redacted is the entire clause.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the information would reveal the level of risk that the parties were willing to accept with respect to stamp duty arising under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
71.	Clause 38.7, 'General payment requirements'	The information redacted is percentages.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information provides detail in respect of a party's liability for payment of interest under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would provide insight into the level of risk the Principal and WL Developer were willing to price and accept in relation to overdue amounts and amounts payable in connection with a judgement or order; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
72.	Clause 40.4, 'Survival of certain provisions; no merger'	The information redacted are defined terms and clauses that have been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), item 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
73.	Clause 40.11	The information redacted is the	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		clause.	provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the information would reveal the level of risk that the parties were willing to accept with respect to certain indemnities under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
74.	Execution pages	The information redacted is the	Section 32(1)(d), item 3(a) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		execution blocks.	The disclosure of this information would reveal an individual's personal information.	public interest against disclosure of this information because the redacted information would disclose personal information of individuals, including names and signatures.
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal considers that any public interest in favour of the disclosure is not significantly advanced by the disclosure of this information, and is outweighed by the public interest against the disclosure as identified above.
SCHE	DULES			
75.	Schedule A1, Items 1A, 1B, 3 and 5, 'Reference Schedule'	The information redacted are defined terms and the names and details of corporate entities.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (a) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information could reveal the WL Developer's financing arrangements. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure because: a) the redacted information are the company names of entities within the WL Developer's commercial structure for the purpose of delivering the MQD and their Australian Business Numbers, Australian Company Numbers, addresses and the defined terms under the MQD PDA describing those entities; b) revealing the information could disclose the financing arrangements the WL Developer has implemented for the delivery of the MQD, and would make this information readily accessible to the WL Developer's future clients, competitors and other contractors and would accordingly reduce this information's competitive commercial value to the WL Developer; and c) therefore the disclosure of this information would reveal a commercial-in-confidence provision of a government contract, and would prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
76.	Schedule A1, Items 6, 7 and 9 to 11, 'Reference Schedule'	The information redacted are the job titles, names and contact details of individuals.	Section 32(1)(d), item 3(a) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information would reveal an individual's personal information. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because the redacted information is the names and contact details of individual persons. The Principal considers that any public interest in favour of the disclosure is not significantly advanced by the disclosure of this information, and is outweighed by the public interest against the disclosure as identified above.
77.	Schedule A1, Items 14, 15 and 16, 'Reference Schedule'	The information redacted are dollar amounts and defined terms.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the fee to be paid by the WL Developer for the Licence Fee and other amounts under the MQD PDA; b) the disclosure of the redacted information would provide visibility on the WL Developer's cost structure and insight into the WL Developer's views on its capabilities and the level of certain risks which the WL Developer was prepared to accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
78.	Schedule A1, Items 17 and 18, 'Reference Schedule'	The information redacted is the list of specific items of Key Plant and Equipment and Key Plant and Equipment Manufacturing Countries.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the definition of Key Plant and Equipment indirectly provides visibility on the Key Plant and Equipment Manufacturing Countries, which is relevant to determining the scope of events that may give rise to a Force Majeure Event; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to a Force Majeure Event within and outside of Key Plant and Equipment Manufacturing Countries, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept. Exposing this information may provide insight into the WL Developer's views on the likelihood of certain Force Majeure Events arising; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests, and also prejudice the

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	effective exercise of the Principal's functions.
				Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
79.	Schedule A2, Definition	The information redacted is entire definitions, including the defined term.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore, the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
80.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Abandon'	The information redacted is time periods.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the timeframe that constitutes an abandonment, and therefore exposes the level of risk the parties were willing to accept with respect to the performance of the MQD Works; b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
81.	Schedule A2, 'Affordable Housing Dwellings'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes references to defined terms which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
82.	Schedule A2, 'Applicable Transfer Date'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to defined terms which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
83.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Associate'	The information redacted is a reference to a defined term that has been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
84.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Call Option Deed (Residential)'	The information redacted is a reference to a defined term that has been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
85.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Call Option Deed (Residential)'	The information redacted is a reference to a redacted clause.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
86.	Schedule A2, Definition of	The information redacted is part	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	'Consequential Loss'	of the definition.	The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
87.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Course of Action'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
88.	Schedule A2,	The information	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest
00.	Definition of 'D&C Side Deed'	redacted is a part of the definition.	and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes reference to a defined term which has been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
89.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Defect'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements regarding Defects

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore, the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
90.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Defects Correction Period'	The information redacted is a time period.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to the timing and expiry of the Defects Correction Period; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore, the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	events and circumstances change.
91.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Delay Costs'	The information redacted is the entire definition.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would provide insight into the WL Developer's assessment of the risk and commercial impact of delays arising in the course of performing its work, and would also reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements regarding Delay Costs under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore, the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
92.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Development Consent'	The information redacted is a reference to a defined term that has been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
93.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Final Stage Design Documentation'	The information redacted is a reference to a redacted definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
94.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Impacted Areas'	The information redacted is a reference to a defined term that has been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
95.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Independent	The information redacted is part of the	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Valuer'	definition.	The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
96.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Interim Stage Design Documentation'	The information redacted is a part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes a reference to a defined term which has been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
97.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Modified Concept SSD Consent Condition'	The information redacted is a reference to a defined term which has been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
98.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Non-Residential Stratum Lot'	The information redacted is the entire definition.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information are references to defined terms which have been redacted;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			agency's functions.	b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the
			Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to to the staging of the project; and
			The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	c) if this information were revealed, it could place the partie at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial val of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure a events and circumstances change.
99.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Principal Unacceptable Consent Condition'	The information redacted is a reference to a defined term that has been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain obligations under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
100.	Schodulo A2	The information	business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest.
100.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Relevant Plans Specifications'	redacted a part of the definition.	The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes references to defined terms which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
101.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Relevant Separable Portions'	The information redacted is a part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
102.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Required Rating'	The information redacted is the entire definition.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	 The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information includes a required financial strength rating; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
103.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Residential Stratum Lot'	The information redacted is the entire definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information are references to defined terms which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
104.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Residential (WL Developer) Stratum Lot'	The information redacted is part the entire definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information are references to defined terms which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
105.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
106.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 1'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
107.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 2'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
108.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 3'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				events and circumstances change.
109.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 4'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
110.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 5'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
111.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 6'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				events and circumstances change.
112.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 7'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
113.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Separable Portion 8'	The information redacted is a part of the defined term.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal information about the staging of the project agreed by the WL Developer and the Principal. Revealing the information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
114.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Social Housing Lot'	The information redacted is the entire definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
115.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'State Indemnified Party'	The information redacted are the State Indemnified Parties (except for the Principal).	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, particularly with respect to scope of liability; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
116.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Substantially Commenced'	The information redacted is paragraphs (a) and (b).	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain construction obligations under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as
				events and circumstances change.
117.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'Tender'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the date on which the WL Developer responded to the request for tender; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
118.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'WL Developer Application' and 'WL Developer	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes references

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Application Design Documentation'		confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	to defined terms which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
119.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'WL Developer Payments'	The information redacted are references to defined terms that have been redacted.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the different heads of payment that make up the WL Developer Payments; b) the disclosure of the redacted information would provide insight into the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to price and accept and may also provide insights into the WL Developer's underlying cost structure; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
120.	Schedule A2, Definition of 'WL Developer's Fitout Works'	The information redacted is part of the definition.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes a reference to a defined term which has been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
121.	Schedule A4, 'Agreed MQD Program Dates Schedule'	The information redacted are dates and references to defined terms that have been redacted.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out details regarding the time periods of the agreed MQD program dates and information about timing of certain payments; b) exposing the redacted information would provide insight into the WL Developer's capabilities and the risk that the WL Developer had accepted in relation to the delivery of the MQD Works; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
122.	Schedule A5, 'Planning Applications and Approvals'	The information redacted are parts of definitions and clauses, references to	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the disclosure of the redacted information would provide insight on the WL Developer's cost structure and reveal

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		clauses and defined terms which have been redacted, percentages, dollar amounts and formulas.	The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain obligations under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
123.	Schedule A6, 'Subcontract Requirements'	The information redacted is a reference to a redacted	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the disclosure of the redacted information would provide

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		schedule.	confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 insight on the WL Developer's cost structure and reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer under the MQD PDA in relation to certain events occurring in connection with the MQD; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
124.	Schedule A7, 'Modification Procedure'	The information redacted are parts of clauses, percentages, defined terms and dollar amounts.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information pertains to the negotiated position on Modifications. The information redacted includes: (i) D&C Contractor and WL Developer margins; (ii) the different heads of costs comprising Modification Costs; (iii) caps for reimbursements; and (iv) specific circumstances where the Principal must issue a Modification Proposal Request to the WL Developer and the procedure, timeframe and the entitlement applicable to those specific

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	circumstances; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk that the Principal and the WL Developer were willing accept with respect to Modifications under the MQD PDA. It may also provide insight into the WL Developer's views on its potential capabilities and the likelihood of certain risks arising; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
125.	Schedule A9, 'Design Development Procedure'	The information redacted are the time periods and other parts of the schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the information redacted sets out the agreed timeframe for the WL Developer to submit the WL Developer Design Documentation as well as agreed processes and risk apportionment in respect of design development therefore exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to design development under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
126.	Schedule A11, 'Progress Reports'	The information redacted are parts of the schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
127.	Schedule A12, 'WL Developer's	The information redacted is the	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Initial Program'	entire schedule.	and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
128.	Schedule A13, 'Project Plan Requirements'	The information redacted are parts of the schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
129.	Schedule A15, 'Sustainability Requirements'	The information redacted is the WL Developer's requirements.	prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the information redacted sets out the sustainability requirements which the WL Developer must ensure and therefore, exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
130.	Schedule A16, 'WL Developer Insurance Requirements'	The information redacted is the insurance policy details.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information concerns the insurance policies that the WL Developer is required to effect and maintain,

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	and includes information on the scope and cover to be provided by the policies; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of insurance risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to its insurance obligations and insurance risk, and the level of insurance risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; c) the scope of the insurance that the Principal requires the WL Developer to effect may be taken as an indication of the risk levels involved with the WL Developer's obligation under the MQD PDA. This may have signalling effects to the market and provide insight into the WL Developer's financial arrangements; and d) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
131.	Schedule A17, 'Insurance Policies'	The information redacted is the insurance policy details.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information concerns the insurance policies that the Principal is required to effect and maintain, and includes information on the scope and cover to be

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), item 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	provided by the policies; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of insurance risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to its insurance obligations and insurance risk, and the level of insurance risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
132.	Schedule A19, 'D&C Side Deed'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the form of the D&C Contract the WL Developer must execute;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal, the WL Developer and the D&C Contractor in relation to certain obligations under the MQD PDA, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. This information is subject to ongoing negotiation by the Principal with the parties required to be counterparties to these agreements and disclosure would therefore place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in these negotiations. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
133.	Schedule A20, 'IDAR Panel Agreement'	The information redacted is information related to the rates payable, names, contact details and signatures of individuals, time periods and a	Section 32(1)(d), item 3(a) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information would reveal an individual's personal information. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out personal information of the Members, the agreed fees payable to the Members of the IDAR Panel and the method for calculating fees in the case of a Dispute under the IDAR Panel Agreement; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		percentage.	government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
134.	Schedule A22, 'Operator Cooperation and Integration Deed	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the form of the interface agreements that the WL Developer is to enter into with the Operator; b) the disclosure of the redacted information would reveal the level of interface risk the WL Developer was willing to accept in relation to interface of the MQD Works with the works to be performed by the Operator; c) the redacted information is based on forms of the interface agreements to be used across a number of procurement packages on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest and which remain subject to ongoing negotiation by the Principal and other contractors, and the redacted information reflects the Principal's negotiated position with the WL Developer in relation to the interface agreements to which the WL Developer will be a counterparty; d) therefore the disclosure of this information would: (i) place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in future negotiations with other contractors on

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				the Sydney Metro City & Southwest project; and (ii) make readily accessible to future clients, competitors and contractors information which may place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage on future projects of a similar nature and accordingly diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial, professional or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
135.	Schedule A23, 'LW Contractor Cooperation and Integration Deed'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the form of the interface agreements that the WL Developer is to enter into with the LW Contractor; b) the disclosure of the redacted information would reveal the level of interface risk the WL Developer was willing to accept in relation to interface of the MQD Works with the works to be performed by the LW Contractor; c) the redacted information is based on forms of the interface agreements to be used across a number of procurement packages on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest and which remain subject to ongoing negotiation by the Principal and other contractors, and the redacted information reflects the Principal's negotiated position with the WL Developer in relation to the interface agreements to which the WL Developer will be a counterparty;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				 d) therefore the disclosure of this information would: (i) place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in future negotiations with other contractors on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest project; and (ii) make readily accessible to future clients, competitors and contractors information which may place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage on future projects of a similar nature and accordingly diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business,
				commercial, professional or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
136.	Schedule A24, 'Information Documents'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate	 The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the information redacted sets out the Information Documents which have been agreed between the parties; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, particularly as there are several implications with respect to the Information Documents; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
137.	Schedule A25	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in certain circumstances under the MQD PDA; and b) the disclosure of this information would: (i) place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in future negotiations with other contractors on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest project; and (ii) make readily accessible to future clients, competitors and contractors information which may place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage on future projects of a similar nature and accordingly diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial, professional or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
138.	Schedule A26, 'Approved	The information redacted is the	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Engineer Deed Poll'	entire schedule.	The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the terms of the Approved Engineer Deed Poll that the Approved Engineer engaged by the WL Developer will execute in favour of the Principal; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer regarding the Approved Engineer services; and c) the disclosure of this information would: place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in future negotiations with other contractors on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest project; and make readily accessible to future clients, competitors and contractors information which may place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage on future projects of a similar nature and accordingly diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial, professional or financial interests.
139.	Schedule A27, 'Residential MQD Requirements'	The information redacted is the entire schedule	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change. The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to specific design and construction

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
140.	Schedule A28, 'MQD Requirements (Precinct)'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to specific precinct requirements for the MQD, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	events and circumstances change.
141.	Schedule A29, 'MQD Works Schedule'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b), (c) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the description of, and the plans depicting, the Separable Portions applicable under the MQD PDA; b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; c) the redacted information includes information, the disclosure of which may expose security vulnerabilities in the MQD. Revealing the redacted information is therefore expected to endanger the security of, and prejudice the system developed for protecting, the MQD; and d) while there is a public interest in revealing the redacted information, this consideration is outweighed by the concerns above. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			Section 32(1)(c)	
			The disclosure of this information could reasonably be expected to affect public safety or security. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	
142.	Schedule 30, 'Milestones'	The information redacted is a description of Milestone dates.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b), (c) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the dates for Milestone achievement of each Milestone and exposing this information may provide insight into the WL Developer's views on its potential capabilities; b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; and c) the public interest in favour of disclosure has been served by revealing the obligation of the WL Developer to achieve the Milestones by the Milestone Dates. In light of this disclosure there is an overriding public interest against the disclosure of the Milestone Dates. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			could reveal commercial-in- confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	events and circumstances change.
143.	Schedule A31, 'Affordable Housing'	The information redacted are references to defined terms that have been redacted.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA and in particular to the staging of the project; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
144.	Schedule A32,	The information	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	The Principal weighed the competing public interest

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	'Social Housing'	redacted is part of the schedule	and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information includes: (i) information about the staging of the project; (ii) information about limits of liability of the WL Developer and the terms of the subcontractor warranty; and (iii) the minimum requirements and specifications for the Social Housing Dwellings being delivered by the WL Developer under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA, including the staging of the project, and provide insight into the WL Developer's capabilities and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
145.	Schedule A33, 'Sales Activities	The information redacted is the	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	and Obligations'	entire schedule.	The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain sales activities and obligations applicable to the MQD, and would provide insight into the WL Developer's capabilities and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
146.	Schedule A34, 'Independent Certifier Deed Poll'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the terms of the deed poll that the Independent Certifier will execute in favour of the WL Developer; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer regarding the Independent Certifier; and c) the disclosure of this information would: (i) place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	future negotiations with other contractors on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest project; and (ii) make readily accessible to future clients, competitors and contractors information which may place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage on future projects of a similar nature and accordingly diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial, professional or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
147.	Schedule A35	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	
148.	Schedule A36, 'Independent Certifier Deed (Social Housing)'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out the terms for the engagement of the Independent Certifier (Social Housing); b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the parties regarding the Independent Certifier (Social Housing); and c) the disclosure of this information would: (i) place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in future negotiations with other contractors on the Sydney Metro City & Southwest project; and (ii) make readily accessible to future clients, competitors and contractors information which may place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage on future projects of a similar nature and accordingly diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial, professional or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
149.	Schedule A37, 'D&C Term Sheet'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information contains commercially sensitive

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	information, including: (iii) the general liability cap; (iv) indemnity and limits on claims; (v) liquidated damages amounts; and (vi) information about performance security; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain obligations under the MQD PDA, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
150.	Schedule B2, 'WL Developer's Certificate – MQD Design	The information redacted is part of the schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Documentation'		exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	reference to a defined term which has been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
151.	Schedule B7, 'Approved Engineer's Certificate (Pre Commencement)'	The information redacted is part of the schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes reference to a defined term and a schedule which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
152.	Schedule B9, 'Approved Engineer's Certificate (Post Completion)'	The information redacted is part of the schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information relates to and includes reference to a defined term and a schedule which have been redacted; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
153.	Schedule C1,'MQD Design	The information redacted are	Section 32(1)(c) The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Parameters (METRO)'	the elements which comprise the MQD Design Parameters.	could reasonably be expected to affect public safety or security. Section 32(1)(d), item 2(e) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could endanger the security of, or prejudice any system or procedure for protecting, any place, property or vehicle. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 public interest against disclosure of the information because: a) the information redacted sets out floor plans and other design schematics used in the construction of the MQD; b) the redacted information includes information, the disclosure of which may expose security vulnerabilities in the MQD. Revealing the redacted information is therefore expected to endanger the security of, and prejudice the system developer for protecting, the MQD; and c) while there is a public interest in revealing the redacted information, this consideration is outweighed by the concerns above. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
154.	Schedule C3, 'WL Developer's Tender Design'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(c) The disclosure of this information could reasonably be expected to affect public safety or security. Section 32(1)(d), item 2(e) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could endanger the security of, or prejudice any system or procedure for protecting, any place, property or vehicle. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of the information because: a) the information redacted are the drawing numbers of floor plans and other design schematics used in the construction of the MQD; b) the redacted information includes information, the disclosure of which may expose security vulnerabilities in the MQD. Revealing the redacted information is therefore expected to endanger the security of, and prejudice the system developer for protecting, the MQD; and c) while there is a public interest in revealing the redacted information, this consideration is outweighed by the concerns above. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				events and circumstances change.
155.	Schedule C5, 'Initial Basis of Design'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to specific design requirements and parameters under the MQD PDA, and provide insight into the WL Developer's views on the state of the market and the level of certain risks which the WL Developer was willing to price and accept; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
156.	Schedule D1, 'Site access schedule'	The information redacted are the dates and the restrictions upon access, possession, use and the type of work to be	Section 32(1)(d), paragraph (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of the information may place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to other	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out, in respect of each Area of Construction Site: (i) Site Access Date;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
		carried out.	contractors or potential contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of the information may diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business or financial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 (iii) Construction Licence Sunset Date; (iii) restrictions on access, possession and use; and (iv) restrictions on type of work. b) the WL Developer has obligations under the MQD PDA with respect to accessing the relevant Construction Site, and other contractors are required to perform works by reference to the redacted dates; c) revealing the redacted information would provide insight into the WL Developer's programme and the level of risk the WL Developer was willing to accept. If this information were revealed, it could place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to other contractors who the WL Developer may have to negotiate or bid against. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information to the WL Developer and prejudice its legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; and d) the public interest has been served by revealing the remaining balance of the Site Access Schedule. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
157.	Schedule D3, 'Transfer of Title'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the mechanism for exercising the call option with respect to the transfer of

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	the freehold title of each Non-Residential Stratum Lot and each Residential Stratum Lot (excluding the Social Housing Lot); b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer with respect to the transfer and subdivision under the MQD PDA; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
158.	Schedule D4, 'Form of Call Option Deed (Non- Residential)'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out all of the negotiated positions under the Call Option Deed which is specific to

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
159.	Schedule D5, 'Form of Call Option Deed (Residential – WL Developer)'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out all of the negotiated positions under the Call Option Deed which is specific to the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
160.	Schedule D6,	The information	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c)	The Principal weighed the competing public interest

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	'Form of Call Option Deed (Residential – Third Party)'	redacted is the entire schedule.	and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information sets out all of the negotiated positions under the Call Option Deed which is specific to the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
161.	Schedule D7, 'Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (d) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information may reveal intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of the information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information is the Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan identifying the stratum lots to be created in connection with the Waterloo Integrated Station Development and which will be registered with the NSW Land Registry Services pursuant to the terms of the MQD PDA; b) the Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan remains subject to finalisation and registration with the NSW Land Registry Services, and the premature disclosure of the Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan may prejudice: (i) the effective exercise by an agency of its functions;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	(ii) the parties' legitimate business, commercial or interests in the event that the plans are amended before being registered with the NSW Land Registry Services; c) the Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan contains drawings which are intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest; d) revealing the information could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; and e) the public interest has been served by revealing the existence of the Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
162.	Schedule D8, 'Subdivision Requirements'	The information redacted is entire clauses, parts of clauses, plans and time periods.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (d) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information may reveal intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information describes requirements relating to Subdivision in connection with the Station Project Works, including: (i) WL Developer's procurement of subdivision and the Principal's provision of assistance;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Pu	ıblic interest considerations
			Section 32(1)(d), item 4(d) of the		(ii) amendments to draft Subdivision Documents;
		table in section 14		(iii) Stratum Subdivision Proposals;	
			The disclosure of this information could prejudice a person's legitimate		(iv) the staging of subdivision;
			business, commercial or financial		(v) registration of the BMS; and
			interests.		(vi) timeframes in relation to the above;
	There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b)	the redacted information contains drawings detailing the staged subdivision proposed in connection with the Waterloo Integrated Station Development. The drawings are intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest;		
				c)	revealing this information would make readily accessible to third parties information about specific requirements for the purpose of the Subdivision of the Station Project Works (including the timing of registration of the BMS), and disclosure would accordingly make this information prematurely available to parties with whom the Principal may need to negotiate for the procurement of future subdivisions on related projects;
				d)	revealing the information could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; and
				e)	the disclosure would prejudice the Principal's negotiating position in respect of such subdivision and the parties'

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
163.	Schedule D9, 'Subdivision Principles'	The information is an entire definition, parts of clauses and entire clauses.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (d) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information may reveal intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, and prejudice a person's legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information describes specific features of the WL Developer's proposed use of the land, potential additional easements required in connection with the Station Project Works and process for finalisation of those easements, and a process for allocation of costs under the BMS; b) the redacted information also describes conceptual principles around boundaries and the timing of construction of certain subdivided lots and its car spaces; c) revealing the information would make readily accessible to third parties information about specific easements which may be required to be procured for the purpose of the Station Project Works, acceptable uses for ISD components and its various subdivided lots. Disclosure of such information would accordingly make this information prematurely available to parties with whom the Principal may need to negotiate for the procurement of future interest and rights on related projects; d) the disclosure would prejudice the Principal's negotiating position in respect of such issues and the parties' legitimate business, commercial information which is intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
				f) revealing the information could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
164.	Schedule D10, 'Draft Subsequent Section 88B Instrument'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraph (d) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information may reveal intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of the information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of the information may reveal commercial-in-	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information is a draft instrument setting out terms of easements intended to be created pursuant to section 88B of the Conveyancing Act 1919 (NSW) (draft section 88B Instrument) which will apply in connection with areas governed by the draft Building Management Statement once registered; b) the draft section 88B Instrument remains subject to finalisation and registration with the NSW Land Registry Services, and the premature disclosure of the Section 88B Instrument may prejudice: (i) the effective exercise by an agency of its functions; and (ii) the parties' legitimate business, commercial or interests in the event that the plans are amended before being registered with the NSW Land

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person, or prejudice a person's legitimate business or commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Registry Services; c) revealing the information could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; d) this schedule contains commercial information which is intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest; and e) the public interest has been served by revealing the existence of the draft section 88B Instrument. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
165.	Schedule D11, 'Early Occupation Licence (Cope Street Plaza)'	The information redacted are dollar amounts, a time period, entire clauses and a plan of the Early Occupation Area.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b), (d) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest, the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information contains drawings identifying the Early Occupation Area along with the stratum lots to be created in connection with the Waterloo Integrated Station Development. The drawings are intellectual property in which the WL Developer has an interest and the premature disclosure of the such information may prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or interests in the event that the Draft Stratum Subdivision Plan is amended before being registered with the NSW Land Registry Services;

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	b) the redacted information also sets out: (i) the Licence Fee for Early Occupation Area; (ii) the timeframe for the purposes of determining the Terminating Date; (iii) additional rights and obligations of the WL Developer in respect of the Early Occupation Area; and (iv) the minimum limits of the WL Developer's insurance coverage required under the MQD PDA; c) disclosing the redacted information would provide visibility on the WL Developer's cost structure and therefore the level of risk it was prepared to accept; d) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests; and e) the public interest has been served by revealing the existence of a plan of the Early Occupation Area. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
166.	Schedule E1, 'Payment Schedule'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b), (c) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) the redacted information details the breakdown of the

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	payments to be made under the MQD PDA and is commercial-in-confidence as its disclosure would provide visibility on the WL Developer's profit margins in relation to the MQD Works; b) the itemisation of work may also reveal a program which the WL Developer has invested a significant amount of time developing, and which the WL Developer may want to use in future bids to gain a competitive advantage; and c) disclosure of the redacted information may provide insight on how the WL Developer priced and accepted the work for the Project. If this information were revealed, it could place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to other contractors who the WL Developer may have to negotiate or bid against. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the WL Developer's legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
167.	Schedule E2, 'Termination Payment	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (a), (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because:

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	Schedule'		provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), item 1(f) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could prejudice the effective exercise by an agency of the agency's functions. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 a) the redacted information sets out the mechanism for determining termination payments for various scenarios and has been prepared to reflect unique commercial points to be accounted for upon termination; b) revealing the redacted information would: (i) provide insight into the unique commercial issues affecting the parties as a consequence of termination and the parties' apportionment of risk in relation to these issues; (ii) provide insight into the WL Developer's views on its potential capabilities and likelihood of MQD PDA being terminated; and (iii) would make readily accessible a unique mechanism developed by the parties to apportion and manage risk in the event of termination, and revealing this information may diminish the value of that information; and c) consequently, revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
168.	Schedule E3,	The information redacted is the	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
	'Cost Caps'	entire schedule.	"commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	 a) the redacted information sets out the mechanism and rates for calculating cost caps under the MQD PDA; b) revealing the redacted information would provide insight into the WL Developer's assessment of the risk and commercial impact of certain events arising in the course of performing its work, and would also reveal a bespoke mechanism negotiated by the parties; and c) if this information were revealed, it could place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the competitive commercial value of the information and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
169.	Schedule E4, 'Form of Parent Company Guarantee'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the form of the Parent Company Guarantee required under the MQD PDA; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	Developer in relation to certain obligations under the MQD PDA, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. This information is subject to ongoing negotiation by the Principal with the parties required to be counterparties to these agreements and disclosure would therefore place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in these negotiations. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
170.	Schedule E5, 'Form of Financier's Side Deed'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c)	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out the form of the Financier's Side Deed which the Principal must enter into in certain circumstances; b) exposing the redacted information would reveal the apportionment of risk between the parties in relation to certain obligations under the MQD PDA, and therefore the level of risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and c) revealing the information would place the parties at a

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. This information is subject to ongoing negotiation by the Principal with the parties required to be counterparties to these agreements and disclosure would therefore place the Principal at a commercial disadvantage in these negotiations.
			interest against disclosure.	Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
171.	Schedule E6	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at section 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure for the following reasons: a) the redacted information sets out various calculations of stamp duty between the Principal and the WL Developer; b) the redacted information is commercially sensitive, and if disclosed may provide a unique insight into the parties internal cost structures; c) disclosure of the redacted information may also provide insight on how the parties apportioned risk under MQD PDA, and therefore the risk that the WL Developer was willing to accept; and d) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
172.	Schedule E7	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the information redacted would disclose commercially sensitive information on formulas, calculations and entitlements for specific circumstances relating to payment under the MQD PDA and would ultimately expose risk allocation between the Principal and the WL Developer under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			interest against disclosure.	
173.	Schedule E8	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(a), paragraphs (b) and (e) of the definition of "commercial-in-confidence provisions" at clause 1 of Schedule 4 The disclosure of this information would reveal the WL Developer's cost structure or profit margins and would place the WL Developer at a substantial commercial disadvantage in relation to potential competitors and other contractors. Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-inconfidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the information redacted would disclose commercially sensitive information on formulas, calculations and entitlements for specific circumstances relating to payment under the MQD PDA and would ultimately expose risk allocation between the Principal and the WL Developer under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information could reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.
174.	Schedule F1, 'Electronic Files'	The information redacted is the entire schedule.	Section 32(1)(d), items 4(b), (c) and (d) of the table in section 14 The disclosure of this information could reveal commercial-in-	The Principal weighed the competing public interest considerations and determined that there was an overriding public interest against disclosure of this information because: a) exposing the redacted information would reveal the

Item	Clause (and general description)	Information redacted	Reason(s) for redaction under GIPA Act	Public interest considerations
			confidence provisions of a government contract, diminish the competitive commercial value of information to a person and prejudice a person's legitimate business and commercial interests. There is an overriding public interest against disclosure.	apportionment of risk between the Principal and the WL Developer in relation to certain elements under the MQD PDA; and b) revealing the information would place the parties at a substantial commercial disadvantage in future projects of a similar nature, as the information would be readily accessible to potential future clients, competitors and contractors. Therefore the disclosure of the information would reduce the information's competitive commercial value and prejudice the parties' legitimate business, commercial or financial interests. Review: This information would be reviewed for disclosure as events and circumstances change.